

# Changes in competition between brands and private labels

Hugh Mullan – Assistant Director
Goods and Consumer Group - Office of Fair
Trading

## **Structure**



Private label growth, but brands still important

Ways of responding to private label growth

 When might private label give rise to competition concerns?

OFT's recent work in retail markets

# There has been strong growth in private labels, strengthening buyer power

- Sources of retailer buyer power: high concentration in grocery retailing, scarce shelf-space, control of retail prices, the ability to delist brands, and the option of stocking private label
- However, considerable variation in success of private label between product categories and over time
- More success where low entry barriers strong brands not already in that category
- Also, significant economies of scale, or providing a premium line for a category with low price-sensitivity

# **But brands are still important**



- Strong brands are still important for retailers need to supply what customer wants
- Also, establishes, develop and signposts a category
- Branded goods provide an indirect constraint on private-label manufacturers, through competition for shelf space, when retailers seek bids to provide private-label products



# Some (not mutually exclusive) alternatives:

#### Innovate

- Innovation intensity in a category negatively correlates to private label share – so effective strategy for restricting growth of private labels
- But also evidence of private-label innovation Mintel found own label accounting for 54% of new product development in UK in 2011

#### Advertise

 Another response is to advertise more intensely, particularly in tougher times. Perceptions of quality of product are important – advertise to influence trust, pride and identification with a brand.



# Some (not mutually exclusive) alternatives:

- Discount
  - Brands could also respond by discounting more heavily
  - Long term solution?
  - Also, difficulties in passing through discounts retailer may engage in massive forward-buying and pass on little of reduced wholesale price.

# Private-label products (i.e. sold without the retailer's name)



- Retailer may wish to develop a greater sense of 'brand' for certain private label products
- support launch of new types of products (e.g. organic range or targeting product gap), or more positive perception of private label
- Additional benefit if can also sell this product through other retailers
- Acceptance of this 'branded private-label' product suggests genuine product innovation, or strong investment in the brand by the commissioning retailers, or particularly keen pricing

# **Potential competition concern**



- Retailers with own/private-label products act both as competitors to brand manufacturers, as well as resellers.
- Manufacturers are required to supply retailers with detailed information on products, including details of new product launches, new variants, and promotional plans.
- A retailer, which has a private-label range in the same category, may
  use this information to develop its own competitor products, particularly
  when information must be provided long in advance.
- If this were to undermine innovation by manufacturers, then this could raise competition concerns. Of even greater concern if products sold outside the retailer's own estate?

# Why this potential concern is not a priority Office of Fair Trading

- We can see this concern in theory. However, no hard evidence received of retailers using product information required from manufacturers to launch their own products.
- Also, no evidence of private label leading to reduction in innovation.
   Indeed, SMEs supplying private label may increase innovation.
- Report for DG Enterprise (2011) did not find reduced innovation due to private-label. CC Groceries Inquiry (2007) expressed no concerns.
- However, DG Comp launched study on whether increased concentration and use of private label has hampered choice and innovation – final report expected end of 2013.



#### Relevant economic research includes

- RPM in fragmented markets (2013)
- Reverse-fixed payments slotting allowances, etc (forthcoming)
- The competitive effects of buyer groups (2007)



### Enforcement and markets work

- Concerns about misleading pricing (in 2012 eight supermarkets agreed to a set of OFT principles to address concerns over special offers and promotions for food and drink)
- Groceries market reference to CC (2006)
- Current case work includes RPM cases and work in online retailing
   mobility scooters, hotel online booking and another online retail sector case
- Merger assessments involving brands (e.g. Unilever / Alberto Culver) or involving private label manufacturers (e.g. Kerry / Headland)