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What are UTPs?

- Contractual issues (e.g. retrospective changes)
- Unfair use of information (e.g. private label relationship)
- Payment issues (e.g. late payment, deductions)
- Unfair transfer of commercial risk (e.g. shrinkage)
- Unfair termination of a commercial relationship (e.g. delisting)
- Supply obligations (e.g. unjustified listing fees)
A thorny issue…

- Freedom of contract
- A consolidated retail sector vs a fragmented supplier base
- Significant pricing pressures:
  - from consumers
  - from commodity markets
  - from discounters
- Direct and indirect suppliers
- Private label competition from the retail customer base
- The fear factor?
- No one-size fits all
Legal tools

- Competition law
- Unfair commercial practices law
- Contract law
- Targeted regulatory measures
The approach so far – a patchwork of national solutions
The regulatory and enforcement landscape

- Significant developments in the last 5 years
- More than 20 Member States have introduced/are planning legislation
- A broad consensus on the issue
- But no consistency in the approach taken
  - expansion of competition law beyond scope of the EU competition law framework
  - a mix of legislation and self regulation
  - scope of OTP coverage varies
  - increase in enforcement bodies
  - nature and powers of enforcement bodies differ considerably
  - enforcement mechanisms vary widely
The Supply Chain Initiative

- Established in 2013 by 7 EU level associations
- Principles of Good Practice – contracting parties:
  - should take into account consumer interests and sustainability of the supply chain
  - are free to set their own strategy and determine whether to enter into any agreement
  - should deal with each other responsibly, in good faith and with professional diligence
- Jointly agreed voluntary framework
Supply Chain Initiative – Specific Principles

- Written Agreements
- Predictability
- Compliance
- Information
- Confidentiality
- Responsibility for risk
- Justifiable requests
What has been the role of the European Commission?

- **2010** – High Level Forum for a Better Functioning Food Supply Chain
- **January 2013** – Green Paper on UTPs in B2B food and non-food supply chains
- **July 2014** – Commission Communication on tackling UTPs in the B2B food supply chain
- **January 2016** – Report issued
The European Commission’s 2016 report

- Report undertaken against backdrop of intense price pressure on agricultural products and significant member state pressure
- National measures have developed significantly
- 5 key elements for effective regulatory frameworks to address UTPs:
  - Coverage in the supply chain
  - 4 core types of UTPs:
    - Unfair transfer of risk
    - Advantages/benefits requested in absence of a related service;
    - Unilateral/retroactive changes to a contract
    - Unfair termination (or threat of) of a contractual relationship
  - Enforcement frameworks to incorporate rigidity and flexibility
  - Confidentiality of complaints and possibility of own initiative investigations
  - Deterrent effect
The Commission’s recommendations

- All Member States to consider introducing legislation that covers the entire B2B food supply chain
- Legislation to cover operators from non-EU countries
- Member States to exchange information and best practice concerning national legislation and enforcement experiences
- National measures to be proportionate and to prohibit only certain core categories of UTPs; others to be assessed on the facts
- More national investigations
- Penalties should be high enough to outweigh any gain from imposing the UTP whilst being proportionate
- No EU-wide regulation
- Instead promotion of the Supply Chain initiative, although ‘room for improvement’
Commission’s recommendation for the SCI

- Increase awareness of the SCI, especially among SMEs
- Ensure impartiality of SCI’s governance structure
- Ensure confidential complaints
- Grant investigatory and sanctioning powers to independent bodies
- Enhanced monitoring of compliance and dispute resolution
What does this mean for national measures and enforcement?

- A converged EU approach without the need for hard-fought EU legislation?
- Or a weak framework without a clear EU-wide incentive for change?
- How to overcome the ‘fear factor’?
- Will the existing approach promote a cultural change in the food supply chain?
- A risk of over-regulation?
- An increase in enforcement?
- European Commission is to continue to monitor the situation
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