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The status of consumer welfare in the food supply chain

• Two projects shed light
  – European Central Bank study on food prices in the period 2009-2011
  – European Commission "modern retail study" on choice and innovation in food in Europe in the period 2004-2012

• Recent antitrust enforcement recalls where competition issues can arise
European Central Bank work on market structure and prices

The European Central Bank has carried out a project on consumer prices (as part of its work to understand inflation mechanisms). They have published several studies using a comprehensive AC Nielsen scanner dataset covering 9 member States across 45 food product categories over 2009-2011. The studies look at differences in price levels across the Euro Area and their drivers.

After controlling for income levels, VAT, unemployment, population density and business cycle, the studies find significant impacts of concentration and competition on prices:

- **Downstream retail competition** (i.e. lower local retail concentration) is associated with lower prices for the end consumer
- **Higher retail concentration** in the procurement market (including buyer groups) is associated with lower prices for the end consumer (welfare-enhancing).
- **Concentration of suppliers** has a large impact on price differentials across EU countries – lower concentration of suppliers is associated with lower prices.
The Commission study on the EU retail sector

- **Motivation for the study:**
  - *Complaints* at national and EU level argue that large retailers impose detrimental conditions on food suppliers (food manufacturers and farmers) and that this reduces their means to invest, thereby decreasing choice and innovation.
  - *Nobody really checked such negative long term effects* of retailers' practices on consumer welfare

- **Objective of the study: deliver quantitative evidence**
  - Provide facts about the evolution of concentration at the different levels of the supply chain
  - Identify the possible (positive and negative) drivers of choice and innovation: concentration factors, imbalances, economic environment, socio-demographics, shop characteristics, shop opening, etc

- **Method of the study**
  - Construction of a comprehensive database on a representative sample for the EU, containing more than 100 local areas in 7 MS: various situations of areas and local retail concentration, various situations of supplier concentration and imbalances between retailers and suppliers at national level, etc
    - *Caveat: only moderately concentrated national retail markets were covered*
  - Detailed data on choice and innovation on retailers' shelves, more than 100,000 different products, covering 23 product categories, 2004-2012;
  - Econometric analysis: choice/innovation vs possible drivers
Main conclusions of the Commission Retail Study

(1) The concentration of modern retailers at wholesale level

- Retailer concentration (including both modern retailers and traditional retail shops) has increased overall, due to the increasing share of modern retail.

- Concentration of modern retail at national level has decreased in a majority of EU Member States (16 out of 26 reviewed).

- The retail side of the market is not always the most concentrated side. In a sample of 14MS and 23 categories there were as many situations where suppliers were stronger than retailers.
(2) The concentration of suppliers at wholesale level

- The market structure of suppliers varies widely between product category and member state, from low concentration (green) in some to high concentration (red) in others.

Sources: Euromonitor Passport, EY analysis. Based on 2012 data.
• However supplier concentration per member state (averaged across product categories) has increased over 2004-2012 in 12 of 14 MS analysed.

• Similarly, supplier concentration per product category (averaged across member states) has increased over 2004-2012 for almost all categories.

Source EY analysis based on Euromonitor International, CAGR of HHI
Private labels and brands

- Varied situations per member state and per category
- Brands continue to dominate in value of sales (50%-80%)
(3) Has choice on retailers' shop shelves declined in Europe?

- No!
- Choice in local retail shops increased over the last decade, both in terms of the number of different products and different brand suppliers, and in all product categories.
- A slowdown could however be observed since 2008.
(4) Has innovation on retailers' shop shelves declined in Europe?

- Yes!
- Innovation increased until 2008; since then a decline in the innovation rate can be observed for most product categories.
- Trend towards more packaging innovation
(5) What are the main likely drivers of choice and innovation?

*(note: result of econometrics in moderately concentrated national retail markets)*

- **Positive drivers:**
  - The *opening of a new shop* in local consumer shopping areas
  - The *expansion of modern retail outlets* in terms of floor space
  - The *size of the product category*
  - For innovation: An *increase in the relative wholesale concentration of retailers* vis-à-vis their suppliers

- **Negative drivers:**
  - The *economic environment* since 2008, measured by the local unemployment rates and local GDP/capita
  - For innovation: higher levels of *supplier (wholesale) concentration (at national level)*
  - For innovation: The proportion of *private labels* in the product assortment, measured as the proportion of PL products in EANs and new EANs by shop and product category.
Key result concerning retailers

*Increased wholesale concentration of retailers relative to suppliers appears to be good for innovation*

Source: The Commission’s modern retail study – A. Renckens/P. Chauve analysis
Are there competition issues?

• Is there a problem in highly concentrated national retail markets?
  – To be investigated

• Are private labels a problem for innovation?
  – An issue at shop or local level?

• Retailers in buying alliances may actually not pass on benefits (e.g. lower prices) when they do not face competition downstream
  – Italian case: Centrale Italiana
  – Norwegian case: Norgesgruppen/ICA
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Useful links


Retail

- Most EU member states have low-moderately concentrated modern retail sectors (below 2500)

- The Nordic and Baltic countries have highly concentrated retail sectors (above 2500)

**HHI of Modern Retail sector (2012)**

Sources: Planet Retail, EY analysis.
How do private label products impact on choice and innovation?
(note: in moderately concentrated retail markets)

- Graphical analysis of the relationship between choice/innovation and private label penetration suggested a non-linear relationship exists, distinct from the linear relationships found for other drivers. This motivated a refinement to the analysis concerning the specification of the relationship between PL share and choice/innovation.

- Including the square of private label share in the regression suggests there is a significant negative non-linear relationship between innovation and PL penetration (decrease is larger the higher the share of PLs).

- No significant relationship with choice however.